

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,275	03/27/2000	Arthur W. Wang	PD-990213	3726
20991 7.	590 09/25/2003			
HUGHES ELECTRONICS CORPORATION PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION RE/R11A109 P O BOX 956			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
EL SEGUNDO	EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2681	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applicant(s)
•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Comment		09/536,275	WANG, ARTHUR W.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAN INC DATE of the control of t	David Q Nguyen	2681
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence address
THE I - External after - If the If NO - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	<u>lune 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		
·	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	•	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
-	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
	ion Papers		
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine		. Hara Errania
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and acception a	·— ·	
11) 🗆 .	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	- ' '	
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Examiner.
12) 🗆 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriir or .	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nrigrity under 35 H S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i priority under 55 5.5.c	. 3 113(2)-(4) 51 (1).
/	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
* S	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.0	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
Attachmen	_		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 22 and 24 were subject to a restriction requirement (paper no. 2). In response thereto, arguments were presented and the Examiner was requested to reconsider and withdraw the requirement (in paper 4). Examiner agrees to withdraw the restriction requirement
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,3-7, 9-10,12-15,17,19-20,22-23, 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taormina et al. (US patent Number 6257526) in view of Roedere et al. (US Patent Number 5151706).

Regarding claim 1, Taormina discloses a communications system comprising:

a plurality of regional ground stations (fig. 1); and a plurality of user terminals with the service area receiving communication signals from the satellite (see fig. 1 and abstract); a plurality of satellites located in an elliptical sub-geosynchronous orbit with respect to the earth, said satellites operating in a service area in a synchronized manner to provide continuous coverage to said service area (fig. 3D; col. 5, lines 25-40). Taormina is silent to disclose said satellites generating

Art Unit: 2681

a plurality of beams with variable beam widths to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area. However, Roedere discloses generating a plurality of beams with variable beam widths to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area (see col. 6, lines 43-51; col. 7, lines 8-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Roedere to Taormina in order to provide service users continuously.

Regarding claim 12, Taormina discloses a communication system comprising a first plurality of satellites located in an elliptical sub-geosynchronous orbit with respect to the earth, said satellites operating in a service area in a synchronized manner to provide continuous coverage to said service area (see explanation in claim 1); said first plurality of satellites providing a first system capacity; and a second plurality of satellites deployed after said first plurality of satellites, said second plurality of satellites providing a second system capacity greater than the first system capacity (see fig. 3D; col. 5, lines 25-40). Taormina is silent to disclose said satellites generating a plurality of beams with variable beam widths to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area. However, Roedere discloses generating a plurality of beams with variable beam widths to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area (see col. 6, lines 43-51; col. 7, lines 8-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Roedere to Taormina in order to provide service users continuously.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses that the uniform cells are substantially fixed within the service area (see col. 2, lines 41-42).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 4 and 14, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses the plurality of beams providing equal capacity density to the cell size (see fig. 6; col. 5, lines 66-67; col. 6, lines 1-5).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses the minimum elevation angle is greater than 10 degrees in the service area (see col. 6, lines 25-27).

Regarding claim 6, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses within said service area is a primary market area (see fig. 1).

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses the plurality of satellites comprising a phase array to form said plurality of beams (see col. 8, lines 23-35).

Regarding claims 9-10 and 19-20, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses that the plurality comprises less than 9 satellites; and the plurality comprises 4 satellites (see col. 8, lines 25-28).

Regarding claim 23, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses wherein said orbits is inclined eccentric sub-geosynchronous orbit (see fig. 2 and all fig. 3).

Regarding claim 22, Taormina discloses a method of providing a system of inclined eccentric sub-geosynchronous satellite orbits above the earth, the method comprising: defining at

Art Unit: 2681

least one geographic service area within which satellite coverage is to be provided, said service area having a minimum elevation angle thereabove (see fig. 3D; fig. 4, fig.5 and abstract; col. 5, lines 25-40); defining at least two satellite above the minimum service area having a first satellite and a second respectively therein (see fig. 2; fig. 4, fig.5 and abstract; col. 4, lines 45-67; col. 6, lines 3-6); operate said the first satellite to generate a plurality of fixed cells relative to the earth (see fig. 2, 3 and 4); operating said second satellite to generate the plurality of fixed cells (see fig.3 and 4 and fig. 2). Taormina is silent to disclose varying the beamwidth of the beams generated during operation in an active arc of an orbit. However, Roedere discloses generating a plurality of beams with variable beam widths (see col. 6, lines 43-51; col. 7, lines 8-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Roedere to Taormina in order to provide service users continuously.

Regarding claim 25, Taormina discloses a method of developing a customized satellite constellation comprising the step of: developing a first satellite constellation having a first set of satellites having regional coverage having a first service area, wherein said first constellation comprises a first plurality of satellites located in an elliptical sub-geosynchronous orbit with respect to the earth said satellites operating in a service area in a synchronized manner to provide continuous coverage to said service area (see explanation in claim 1); lauching a second set of satellite to form a second satellite constellation having primary market coverage in cooperation with said first set of satellites to have a second service area greater than said first service area (see explanation in claims 1 and 12; see fig. 2 and 3d; col. 4, lines 46-55; col. 5; lines 24-40).

Taormina is silent to disclose said satellites generating a plurality of beams with variable beam

Art Unit: 2681

widths formed as a function of orbit position to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area. However, Roedere discloses generating a plurality of beams with variable beam widths formed as a function of orbit position to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area (see col. 6, lines 43-51; col. 7, lines 8-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Roedere to Taormina in order to provide service users continuously.

Regarding claims 26 and 27, Taormina discloses a method of developing a customized satellite constellation modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed.

Taormina also discloses launching a third set of satellites to form a third satellite constellation having optimized landmass coverage in cooperation with said first set of satellites and said second; the first constellation, the second constellation and the third constellation comprise SGSO satellites (see explanation in calim 25, fig. 1 and 3D).

Regarding claims 28-31, Taormina discloses a method of developing a customized satellite constellation modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed.

Taormina also discloses the first and second set of satellites are non-interfering with GSO satellites; the first plurality of satellites and the second set of satellites have active arcs sized to provide continuous coverage to said second service area and be non-interfering with GSO satellites (see col. 4, lines 46-55; fig. 2; all fig. 3 and abstract)

Regarding claim 32, Taormina discloses a communications system comprising:

a plurality of regional ground stations (fig. 1); and a plurality of user terminals with the service

area receiving communication signals from the satellite; a plurality of satellites located in an

elliptical sub-geosynchronous orbit with respect to the earth, said satellites operating in a service

Art Unit: 2681

area in a synchronized manner to provide continuous coverage to said service area (fig. 3D; col. 5, lines 25-40). Taormina is silent to disclose said satellites generating a plurality of beams with variable beam widths that vary as a function of orbital position to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area. However, Roedere discloses generating a plurality of beams with variable beam widths that vary as a function of orbital position to obtain a substantially uniform cell size covering said service area (see col. 6, lines 43-51; col. 7, lines 8-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Roedere to Taormina in order to provide service users continuously.

Regarding claim 33, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses wherein said plurality of satellites operate using a frequency of GSO satellite (see col. 7, lines 22-24).

Regarding claim 34, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. Taormina also discloses wherein said plurality of satellite do not operate in GSO satellite avoidance zone (see col. 4, lines 46-55).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taormina et al. (US patent Number 6257526) in view of Roedere et al. (US Patent Number 5151706) and further in view of Byrne et al. (US Patent Number 5990883).

Regarding claim 2, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. They are silent to disclose the ground station coupled to one selected from the group consisting of an internet service provider, a broadcast television center and a corporate internet. However, Bryne disclosses the ground station coupled

Art Unit: 2681

to one selected from the group consisting of an internet service provider, a broadcast television center and a corporate internet (see fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Bryne to the prior arts in order to provide multimedia program content to users.

5. Claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taormina et al. (US patent Number 6257526) in view of Roedere et al. (US Patent Number 5151706) and further in view of Schloemer (US Patent Number RE37140).

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. They are silent to disclose the satellites are disabled when coextensive with a geostationary orbit. However, Schloemer discloses the satellites are disabled when coextensive with a geostationary orbit (see col. 2, lines 45-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Schloemer to the prior arts in order to keep satellites in their proper orbits.

6. Claims 11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taormina et al. (US patent Number 6257526) in view of Roedere et al. (US Patent Number 5151706) and further in view of Castiel et al. (US Patent Number 6263188).

Regarding claims 11 and 21, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. They are silent to disclose the plurality comprising 5 satellites. However, Castiel discloses the plurality comprising 5 satellites (see col. 13, lines 8-9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2681

the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Castiel to the prior arts in order to provide continuous coverage to the service area.

7. Claims 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taormina et al. (US patent Number 6257526) in view of in view of Roedere et al. (US Patent Number 5151706) and further in view of Wainfan et al. (US Patent Number 6339707).

Regarding claim 16, Taormina discloses a communications system modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. They are silent to disclose a primary market area having an elevation greater than thirty degrees. However, Wainfan discloses a primary market area having an elevation greater than thirty degrees (see col. 3, lines 62-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Wainfan to the prior art so that satellite service may be more efficiently realized.

Regarding claim 24, Taormina discloses a method modified by Roedere comprising all of the limitations as claimed. They are silent to disclose wherein said step of defining at least two satellite orbits comprises defining at least four orbits. However, Waifan shows at least four orbits (see fig. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Wainfan to the prior art so that satellite service may be more efficiently realized.

Art Unit: 2681

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 7036054254. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

David Nguyen

DN

SINH TRAN PRIMARY FXAMINER